Remarks

This communication responds to the Office Action mailed February 10, 2005 for the

application captioned above.

In the Office Action, the Examiner contended that the claims are directed to more than

one patently distinct species of the claimed invention. The Examiner required that Applicant

elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to

be allowable. The species, as contended by the Examiner, are presented as follows:

Species A comprising a dual retention system (claims 1, 2-13, 20-36, 45, and 46); and

Species B comprising at least three cover gathering means (claims 1, 14-19, 37-44, 45,

and 47).

Applicant provisionally elects Species A (claims 1, 2-13, 20-36, 45, and 46), comprising

the dual retention system, with traverse. Furthermore, Applicant submits that if a determination

of an allowable generic claim is issued in the present application, claims that are written in

dependant form or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowed generic claim should be

considered. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(c).

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned if the Examiner believes it would

be useful to advance prosecution.

Serial No.: 10/828,593

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional filing fees required to Deposit Account No. 061910.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: March 10, 2005

Matthew J.S. Graham

Reg. No. 54,647

Customer No. 022859

FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A.

200 South Sixth Street

Suite 4000

Minneapolis, MN 55402

(612) 492-7256

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

Date of Denosit

Jodie Becker

#3091602\1

Serial No.: 10/828,593

Page 3 of 3